# University of Michigan Winter 2021 Individual Response Report EECS 485-004: Web Systems Nicole Hamilton

33 out of 147 students responded to this evaluation.

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
  - Strongly Agree
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
  - Strongly Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Almost Always
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Much Lighter
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
- 8. How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)
  - 0
- 9. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - Strongly Agree
- 10. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - Almost Always
- 11. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
  - Almost Always
- 12. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Strongly Agree
- 13. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
  - Strongly Agree
- 14. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
  - Strongly Agree
- 15. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
  - Strongly Agree
- 16. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)

- Strongly Agree
- 17. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - Strongly Agree
- 18. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - Strongly Agree
- 19. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - Strongly Agree

Instr	ructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  • Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  • Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  • Frequently
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  • Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  • Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Heavier
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  • Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  Strongly Agree
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  Almost Always
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  • Almost Always
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  Strongly Agree
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Agree
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  • Strongly Disagree
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  • Agree
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Agree
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Agree
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  • Agree
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  • Agree

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
  - Strongly Agree
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
  - Strongly Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Almost Always
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Heavier
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
- 8. How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)
  - Panopto was amazing
- 9. Given your experience in this course, what teaching techniques do you think the instructor should continue to use in the future (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, other testing methods, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods)? (Q1873)
  - Panopto was amazing
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - Strongly Agree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - Sometimes
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
  - Sometimes
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Neutral
- 14. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
  - Disagree
- 15. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
  - N/A
- 16. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
  - Neutral
- 17. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
  - Disagree
- 18. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - Strongly Disagree
- 19. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - Strongly Agree

- 20. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - Strongly Agree
- 21. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
  - Great
- 22. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
  - N/A
- 23. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
  - Instruction and projects were awesome
- 24. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
  - Instructors need to answer piazza posts more often pls
- 25. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
  - Nothing. this required a lot of self–learning

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
  - Strongly Agree
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
  - Strongly Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Almost Always
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Much Heavier
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
- 8. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - Strongly Agree
- 9. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - Almost Always
- 10. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
  - Almost Always
- 11. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Strongly Agree
- 12. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
  - Strongly Agree
- 13. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
  - Strongly Agree
- 14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
  - Strongly Agree
- 15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
  - Strongly Agree
- 16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - Strongly Agree
- 17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - Strongly Agree
- 18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - Strongly Agree

Inst	Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  • Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Lighter		
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  • Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)		
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  • Disagree		
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  Occasionally		
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  Occasionally		
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  • Agree		
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Disagree		
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  • Strongly Disagree		
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  • Strongly Disagree		
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Agree		
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Strongly Agree		
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  • Strongly Agree		
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Strongly Agree		

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)

• Strongly Agree

2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)

• Agree

- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Sometimes
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Heavier
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
- 8. How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)
  - John Kloosterman's lectures were extremely professional and helpful.
- 9. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - Agree
- 10. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - Sometimes
- 11. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
  - Frequently
- 12. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Agree
- 13. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
  - Strongly Agree
- 14. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
  - Strongly Disagree
- 15. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
  - Neutral
- 16. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
  - Disagree
- 17. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - Neutral
- 18. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - Agree
- 19. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - Neutral
- 20. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
  - I thought the course was reasonable quality.

- 21. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
  - I thought it was perfectly adequate.
- 22. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
  - I think some labs seemed to be extremely difficult and somewhat off-topic
- 23. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
  - EECS 281, just because it is the core programming class.

Inst	tructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Strongly Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  Strongly Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Strongly Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Typical
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  • Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  Strongly Agree
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  Almost Always
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  Almost Always
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  Strongly Agree
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Strongly Agree
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  Neutral
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  • Agree

15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)

16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)

18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)

Strongly Agree

Strongly Agree

Strongly Agree

Strongly Agree

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems **Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton** 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree

- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
  - Strongly Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Almost Always
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Typical
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
- 8. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - Strongly Agree
- 9. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - Almost Always
- 10. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
  - Almost Always
- 11. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Strongly Agree
- 12. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
  - Strongly Agree
- 13. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
  - Strongly Agree
- 14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
  - Strongly Agree
- 15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
  - Strongly Agree
- 16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - Strongly Agree
- 17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - Strongly Agree
- 18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - Strongly Agree

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  Neutral	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Occasionally	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Neutral	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Heavier	
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)	
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  N/A	
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  • N/A	
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  ⋄ N/A	
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  N/A	
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Agree	
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  Strongly Disagree	
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  Neutral	
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  Neutral	
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Neutral	
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  • Agree	
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Agree	

	Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Typical		
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  Attended most sessions synchronously online (e.g., in a zoom meeting)		
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  • Agree		
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  • Frequently		
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  • Frequently		
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  • Agree		
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Agree		
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  • Agree		
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  • Agree		
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Agree		
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Agree		
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  • Agree		
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  • Agree		

1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Strongly Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  Strongly Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Strongly Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Heavier
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  Agree
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  Almost Always
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  Almost Always
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  Strongly Agree
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  Strongly Agree
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  Strongly Agree
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  Strongly Agree
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  Strongly Agree
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  Strongly Agree
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  Strongly Agree
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

**Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton** 

Strongly Agree

**Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton** 

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
  - Strongly Disagree
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
  - Strongly Disagree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Hardly Ever
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Strongly Disagree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Strongly Disagree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Much Heavier
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
- 8. How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)
  - The only good things are lectures and staff's efforts
- 9. Given your experience in this course, what teaching techniques do you think the instructor should continue to use in the future (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, other testing methods, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods)? (Q1873)
  - Taking this course is the most awful experience I've ever had.
    - 1. Poorly designed lab questions and lab content. I have discussed with many other students in this course. We all think it's hard to learn things in the lab by just reading the lab specification. We don't know where to learn relevant info and answers to questions in lab quiz. The wording of 485 choice questions are awfully poor.
    - 2. Awful mid-term exam. I have know nothing about web systems before taking this course. It's quite hard for me to learn in this course. I got A+ in both EECS 281 and EECS 370. But I am afraid that I will get bad grades in this course. I come to OH regularly. Staff is helpful for projects. But when asking about how to learn the course well or how to prepare for the exams or argue about grading, they don't tell anything.
    - 3. Projects, labs, and exams are far beyond the lecture materials. I feel it requires students to have experience in web system before.
    - 4. Many posts in piazza got no response or very slow response. We could learn better if we got help but no one help or help is insufficient.
    - 5. Awful exam experience!!! the multiple choice questions are exteremely poorly wording. Many students can't agree with the sample answers actually.
    - 6. Points assigned to the autograder private testcases is not reasonable. Can't figure out why we lose points. Not helpful at all.

Really a hard semester for me due to EECS 485. But still, thanks course staff for their hard work.

- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - N/A
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - N/A
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)

◇ N/A	
13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  • N/A	
<ul><li>14. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)</li><li>N/A</li></ul>	
15. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  ⋄ N/A	
16. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  ⋄ N/A	

- 17. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
  - 14// (
- 18. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - N/A
- 19. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - N/A
- 20. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - N/Δ
- 21. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
  - extremely poor
- 22. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
  - provide more suitable OH slots for international students
- 23. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
  - nothing maybe lectures
- 24. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
  - give generous curve
- 25. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
  - · No course.

Previous experience to javascript and other web related languages and web design experience would be helpful.

**Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton** 

1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)

• Agree

1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Strongly Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Strongly Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Typical
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  Attended most sessions synchronously online (e.g., in a zoom meeting)
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  Strongly Agree
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  Almost Always
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  • Frequently
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  Strongly Agree
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Disagree
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  N/A
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  Agree
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  Strongly Agree
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Agree
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  Strongly Agree
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Strongly Agree
19.	Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)  • An online web development course

III	structor name: Nicole Hamilton
	<ul> <li>This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul>
2	<ul> <li>My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul>
(	<ul> <li>I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)</li> <li>Frequently</li> </ul>
4	<ul> <li>Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)</li> <li>Agree</li> </ul>
į	<ul> <li>I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)</li> <li>Agree</li> </ul>
6	<ul> <li>As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)</li> <li>Typical</li> </ul>
-	. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
	Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
8	<ul> <li>How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)</li> <li>Project walkthroughs helped</li> </ul>
Ş	Given your experience in this course, what teaching techniques do you think the instructor should continue to use in the future (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, other testing methods, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods)? (Q1873)
	Project walkthroughs, weekly labs
10	<ul> <li>Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)</li> <li>Agree</li> </ul>
1	<ul> <li>Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)</li> <li>Sometimes</li> </ul>
12	<ul> <li>Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)</li> <li>Sometimes</li> </ul>
13	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)     Strongly Agree
14	<ul> <li>Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)</li> <li>Agree</li> </ul>
15	<ul> <li>The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)</li> <li>Strongly Disagree</li> </ul>
16	<ul> <li>The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)</li> <li>Agree</li> </ul>
17	<ul> <li>Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)</li> <li>Agree</li> </ul>
18	<ul> <li>Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)</li> <li>Agree</li> </ul>
19	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)     Agree

- 20. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - Agree
- 21. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
  - Hamilton didn't make the slides. Admitted to reading them the night before lecture. Often commented that she literally didn't know what she was talking about and couldn't answer certain questions
- 22. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
  - what
- 23. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
  - · projects were interesting
- 24. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
  - 281, used to managing large projects

	Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Sometimes		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Typical		
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)		
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  Agree		
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  Sometimes		
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  Sometimes		
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  Strongly Agree		
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Agree		
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  Strongly Disagree		
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  • Disagree		
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Agree		
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Agree		
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  • Agree		
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Strongly Agree		

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  • Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  • Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  • Frequently	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  • Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Typical	
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)	
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  N/A	
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  • N/A	
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  N/A	
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  N/A	
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  Neutral	
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  • Disagree	
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  • Disagree	
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Neutral	
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Agree	
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  • Agree	
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Agree	

	Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton	
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Strongly Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  • Strongly Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Almost Always	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Strongly Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Heavier	
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)	
	<ul> <li>Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)</li> </ul>	
8.	How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)  • projects/labs were good understanding	
9.	Given your experience in this course, what teaching techniques do you think the instructor should continue to use in the future (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, other testing methods, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods)? (Q1873)	
	keep giving examples	
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  Agree	
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  • Frequently	
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  • Frequently	
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  • Agree	
14.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  Agree	
15.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  Agree	
16.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  Agree	
17.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Agree	
18.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  Agree	
19.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  • Agree	

- 20. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - Agree
- 21. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
  - good
- 22. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
  - · i think it was fine
- 23. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
  - very applicable learning
- 24. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
  - dive more into the last two projects and their uses
- 25. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
  - most eecs 281
     least eecs 203

	Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  • Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Typical		
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)		
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  Strongly Agree		
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  Almost Always		
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  Almost Always		
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  Strongly Agree		
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Strongly Agree		
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  • Strongly Agree		
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  Strongly Agree		
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  Strongly Agree		
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  Strongly Agree		
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  Strongly Agree		
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

Strongly Agree

	urse Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems ructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Neutral
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  Neutral
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  • Frequently
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Neutral
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Neutral
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Much Heavier
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  Strongly Agree
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  Almost Always
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  Almost Always
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  Strongly Agree
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Disagree
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  • Neutral
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  • Agree
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Neutral
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Disagree
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  Neutral
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Neutral

	rrse Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems ructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Strongly Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  Strongly Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Strongly Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Typical
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  • Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  N/A
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  • N/A
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  • N/A
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  N/A
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Agree
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  • N/A
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  • Neutral
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Neutral
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Agree
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  • Agree
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  • Agree

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
  - Strongly Agree
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
  - Strongly Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Almost Always
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Much Heavier
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
- 8. How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)
  - I liked the panpto lectures. They were well conducted and easy to follow.
- 9. Given your experience in this course, what teaching techniques do you think the instructor should continue to use in the future (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, other testing methods, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods)? (Q1873)
  - Using panpto in the future is good and drawing it out was really helpful. I wish there were more exercise questions in the
    earlier questions that were added to the later lectures.
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - Disagree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - Frequently
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
  - Occasionally
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Disagree
- 14. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
  - Agree
- 15. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
  - N/A
- 16. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
  - Neutral
- 17. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
  - Disagree
- 18. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - Disagree
- 19. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - Strongly Agree

#### 20. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

Strongly Agree

#### 21. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

• I attended Kloosterman's lectures which were great! I did not attend Hamilton because in the past they were slow and boring and everyone else I know in the class went to kloosterman too. He provided great explanation and analogies.

#### 22. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)

 Helping create project groups maybe for those that didnt have one. try to get different students to meet online if they would like

#### 23. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)

Kloosterman, cool applicable projects,

#### 24. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)

 added more time for project 3. giving a break between midterm and p4. Making labs more applicable or don't have them at all, weekend office hours.

## 25. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)

Most effective: knowing this is a super applicable class for industry.
 least effective: N/A

	Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton				
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  • Agree				
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  • Agree				
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  • Frequently				
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Neutral				
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  • Agree				
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Typical				
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  • Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)				
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  N/A				
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  ⋄ N/A				
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  ⋄ N/A				
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  N/A				
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  N/A				
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  N/A				
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  N/A				
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  N/A				
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  N/A				
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  N/A				
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  N/A				

1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Strongly Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  • Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  • Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Typical
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  • Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  N/A
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  ⋄ N/A
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  ⋄ N/A
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  N/A
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  Strongly Agree
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  N/A
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  • Disagree
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Agree
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  • Agree
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  • Agree
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Agree
19.	What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)  • Lecture material was very interesting and the projects were interesting and fun to complete.
20.	<ul> <li>What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)</li> <li>I'm not convinced lab assignments really add much to this class. Also the exam multiple choice questions often feel like trick questions and/or are more complicated than they really need to be.</li> </ul>

21. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems

### why? (Q1098)

 Having 482 was quite useful to have taken because of project 4 concurrency material, though I don't think it is necessary to be successful. Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)

• Agree

- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
  - Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Almost Always
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Typical
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
- 8. How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)
  - I thought the asynchronous lectures were taught incredibly well (possibly the best I've ever taken).
- 9. Given your experience in this course, what teaching techniques do you think the instructor should continue to use in the future (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, other testing methods, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods)? (Q1873)
  - I really enjoyed how the lectures were broken up into parts.
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - Agree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - Frequently
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
  - Frequently
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Agree
- 14. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
  - Neutral
- 15. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - Agree
- 16. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - Agree
- 17. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - Agree
- 18. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
  - The instruction of this class was really well.
- 19. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
  - The strengths of this course was taking the class at your own pace.

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
  - Strongly Agree
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
  - Strongly Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Almost Always
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Typical
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions synchronously online (e.g., in a zoom meeting)
- 8. How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)
  - Asynchronous lectures from John Kloosterman are very important and Helpful.
- 9. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - Strongly Agree
- 10. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - Almost Always
- 11. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
  - Almost Always
- 12. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Strongly Agree
- 13. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
  - Strongly Agree
- 14. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
  - Strongly Agree
- 15. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
  - Strongly Agree
- 16. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
  - Strongly Agree
- 17. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - Agree
- 18. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - Strongly Agree

msı	tructor name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Strongly Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  Strongly Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Strongly Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  • Heavier
7.	How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  • Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
8.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  Strongly Agree
9.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  Almost Always
10.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  Almost Always
11.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  Strongly Agree
12.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  • Agree
13.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  Strongly Agree
14.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  Strongly Agree
15.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  • Agree
16.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  Strongly Agree
17.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  Strongly Agree
18.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Strongly Agree

**Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton** 

1. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)

Neutral

2. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)

Sometimes

- 3. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Strongly Agree

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891) Much Lighter 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854) Attended most sessions synchronously online (e.g., in a zoom meeting) 8. How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872) 9. Given your experience in this course, what teaching techniques do you think the instructor should continue to use in the future (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, other testing methods, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods)? (Q1873) 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree 14. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) Strongly Agree 15. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) Strongly Agree 16. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree 17. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) Strongly Agree 18. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree 19. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) Strongly Agree

20.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Strongly Agree
21.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
22.	How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)  ⋄
23.	What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)  ⋄
24.	What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)  ⋄
25.	Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
	♦

Course Name: EECS 485-004: Web Systems
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)

• Agree

- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
  - Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
  - Sometimes
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
  - Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
  - Neutral
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
  - Heavier
- 7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)
  - Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)
- 8. How did the teaching techniques (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods) of this course serve the aims of this course/ or serve your learning in this course? (Q1872)
  - John Kloosterman's videos were excellent and so well done.
- 9. Given your experience in this course, what teaching techniques do you think the instructor should continue to use in the future (e.g., certain technologies used, specific approaches to testing and assignments, other testing methods, asynchronous or synchronous teaching methods, instructor flexibility, class interaction, small group work, other teaching methods)? (Q1873)
  - I think you should have more piazza posts answered—I found it extremely frustrating when piazza posts weren't answered
    and every other eecs class I've been in did a better job with Piazza
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
  - Disagree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
  - Hardly Ever
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
  - Occasionally
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
  - Disagree
- 14. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
  - Agree
- 15. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
  - Strongly Disagree
- 16. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
  - Strongly Disagree
- 17. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
  - Disagree
- 18. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
  - Disagree
- 19. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
  - Agree

- 20. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
  - Agree
- 21. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
  - John Kloostermans lectures were excellent
- 22. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
  - I think the projects were really cool and the lecture content was very interesting
- 23. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
  - I think a project should be on recommender systems. I also think piazza posts should be answered by IAs way more frequently. Additionally, the exams seem like all trick questions for no good reason. Exams should be testing my understanding of the material, not my ability to read every single answer and figure out trick questions.
- 24. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
  - I dont know

1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)  Strongly Agree  2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)  Strongly Agree  3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)  Almost Always  4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)  Strongly Agree  5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)  Strongly Agree  6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)  Typical  7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)  Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)  Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)  N/A  9. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)  N/A  10. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)  N/A  11. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)  N/A  12. Prorequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)  Strongly Agree  13. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)  Neutral  14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)  Neutral  15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)  Neutral  16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)  Neutral  17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)  Strongly Agree  18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)  Strongly Agree		
Strongly Agree  3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	1.	
<ul> <li>Almost Always</li> <li>4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) <ul> <li>Typical</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854) <ul> <li>Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)</li> </ul> </li> <li>8. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>9. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>10. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>11. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>12. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>13. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	2.	
<ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891)</li> <li>Typical</li> <li>7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)</li> <li>Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)</li> <li>8. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>9. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>10. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>11. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>NP</li> <li>Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>13. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)</li> <li>Neutral</li> <li>14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)</li> <li>Neutral</li> <li>15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)</li> <li>Neutral</li> <li>16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)</li> <li>Neutral</li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	3.	
<ul> <li>Strongly Ågree</li> <li>6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) <ul> <li>Typical</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. How did you participate in this course? (Q1854) <ul> <li>Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)</li> </ul> </li> <li>8. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>9. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>10. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>11. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>12. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>13. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	4.	
<ul> <li>Typical</li> <li>How did you participate in this course? (Q1854)</li> <li>Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)</li> <li>Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)</li> <li>Neutral</li> <li>The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)</li> <li>Neutral</li> <li>Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)</li> <li>Neutral</li> <li>Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)</li> <li>Neutral</li> <li>I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	5.	
<ul> <li>Attended most sessions asynchronously online (e.g., completing modules/videos)</li> <li>8. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>9. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>10. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>11. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>12. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>13. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	6.	
<ul> <li>N/A</li> <li>Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>Laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <li>I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li>	7.	
<ul> <li>N/A</li> <li>Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>Ldeveloped confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <li>I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li>	8.	
<ul> <li>N/A</li> <li>Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <li>I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li>	9.	
<ul> <li>N/A</li> <li>12. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>13. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	10.	
<ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>13. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	11.	
<ul> <li>Neutral</li> <li>14. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	12.	
<ul> <li>Neutral</li> <li>15. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) <ul> <li>Neutral</li> </ul> </li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) <ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> </ul> </li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	13.	· ,
<ul> <li>Neutral</li> <li>16. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)</li> <li>Neutral</li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	14.	
<ul> <li>Neutral</li> <li>17. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)</li> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	15.	
<ul> <li>Strongly Agree</li> <li>18. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)</li> </ul>	16.	
	17.	
	18.	